

TURNBULL CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

A meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Turnbull Creek Community Development District was held Tuesday, September 13, 2016 at 6:00 p.m. at the Murabella Amenity Center, 101 Positano Avenue, St. Augustine, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Aage G. Schroder, III	Chairman
Kathleen Venezia	Vice Chairman
Joseph Quinto	Supervisor
Brian J. Wing	Supervisor
Chuck Labanowski	Supervisor

Also Present were:

James Perry	District Manager
Mike Eckert	District Counsel
Mike Yuro	District Engineer
Mark Insel	Vesta/Amenity Services Group
Dan Fagen	Vesta/Amenity Services Group
Lourens Erasmus	Vesta/Amenity Services Group
Milo Williams	Duval Landscape
Rick Derrick	Duval Landscape
Michael Johnson	Duval Landscape Maintenance
Brian Moore	Duval Landscape Maintenance

The following is a summary of actions taken at the September 13, 2016 meeting. A copy of the proceedings can be obtained by contacting the District Manager.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Perry called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments

Mr. Clabots stated I want to compliment Dave because he sent the analysis out, it was brief and to the point. At the last meeting when you were considering the budget someone noted how high our CDD fees were and I asked a realtor to do a little investigation for me and he

looked at 11 communities. If you look at the data the range combined of CDD and HOA the four highest were: Markland at \$3,118 annually or \$259.83 monthly, Murabella \$2,758 annually or \$229.83 monthly, Trail Mark is \$2,541 or \$211.75 monthly, Heritage Landing \$2,508 and monthly is \$209. We have the option of paying down the bonds on our property and that would reduce our combined CDD and HOA fees to \$1,620 annually or \$135 monthly. Developers sell to builders who sell you a property at a higher value. In our case we have a CDD, that has a bond issue and that is passed on to us in monthly fees. It depends on how you look at things and it puts it in perspective of what we really pay for in this community and roughly 50% of what we pay is the bond debt that is just like a mortgage.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion of Final Inspection of Pond Bank Work

Mr. Yuro stated the one-year warranty on all of them except 1A and 5 is coming up. I have reviewed the as-built plans and inspection reports and I walked the pond banks. What I would like to do is compile the comments into readable notes and work with the board members who have been most involved to make sure I have captured everything you have seen and vice versa so we can present a comprehensive list to the contractor and make decisions on what needs to be done and what doesn't.

As far as the pond banks it is very obvious where the work was done because almost every one of them had weak to poor turf grass, that was the most obvious thing that jumped out at me. There are other areas as to how they constructed the pipes going into the water, you can see the first phases they were a little more diligent in meeting the specs and when they got to the later phases it looked like things were done a little bit quicker. Those are some of the things that I want to review with some of the board members to see if it is worth bringing up the issue.

Mr. Schroder stated part of the problem is inconsistency on how the pond banks are being irrigated. The homeowners are supposed to irrigate the pond banks but we know that is not happening in a lot of cases.

Mr. Wing asked does that mean we are tabling this issue until next month?

Mr. Eckert stated it sounds like he needs to get with the board members and compile that list.

Mr. Yuro stated I can compile the list by next week.

Mr. Eckert stated I don't think there is any board action required at this point for your engineer and chairman to talk to the contractor about the warranty items that need to be fixed. There may come a time at some point in the future if they refuse to do the warranty repairs that it becomes a board issue but I'm not so sure what action we would be taking today on this item.

Mr. Wing stated this involved a major expenditure of money and all board members may be involved not just one or two.

Mr. Eckert stated at the last meeting you asked us to confirm that ETM wasn't paid for doing these inspections and Dave reviewed the records and said that we did not prepay for that.

Mr. Schroder stated any action will have to be brought before the board, two of us separately have looked at certain ponds and have made a list of concerns and passed them on originally to the former engineer and I think Mr. Yuro has that.

Mr. Yuro stated I have had conversations with a couple of you and made notes but I don't think I have gotten anything specific.

Mr. Schroder stated my concern is if the year maintenance is up soon do we need to notify the contractor prior to that time that there are issues that need to be addressed?

Mr. Eckert responded yes.

Mr. Schroder asked do they need a detailed list or just put them on notice?

Mr. Eckert stated you absolutely need to do that but the board does not have to approve that list. That is something your engineer should be doing as a matter of course. To the extent the board wants to be the one that approves that list certainly you can have a special meeting and you can decide to do that. I'm just saying at a staff level that your engineer who is inspecting the work saying this is not the way it should be, you need to remedy this and if they refuse to remedy it then we bring it back to the board and we have some issues to talk about.

Mr. Wing stated as Mr. Yuro observed and I as well it is clear that the homeowners along the banks are not irrigating their turf. We spent a ton of money replacing all that dirt and putting in the turf. How is that going to be adhered to? I don't want to see everybody in the community pay for that turf to be replaced again.

Mr. Eckert stated the HOA covenant is what requires them to irrigate that turf.

Mr. Wing stated I understand from reading the minute of the last HOA meeting that the HOA is not willing to enforce some CDD issues.

Mr. Labanowski stated to add any new ones in, they will enforce irrigation but they have to be notified of the issues. They are not going to go out and look for the irrigation issues. The CDD board is going to have to report those issues to them and they will send letters to the homeowners that are involved. The problem is to find out who is not watering.

Mr. Schroder asked is there a way for the HOA to remind all the homeowners that it is their responsibility?

Mr. Labanowski stated I think they put out a reminder a while back; maybe it is time for another notice to go out.

Mr. Eckert stated to the extent you can educate the community that is always a good thing on that issue. Whether it is the HOA enforcing or whether we can come up with some sort of assessment structure if we had to replace the turf behind somebody's house because they weren't irrigating it then we would only assess that lot for the cost of that. We can probably come up with a structure to do that but we still have to know and be able to show that they weren't irrigating it and that is the reason why it failed. You still have to be able to pinpoint somebody not doing it.

Ms. Venezia stated I irrigate and some of my neighbors irrigate but the grass is sparse because I think they mowed too soon and it squashed everything down and now we have sand and shell and sparse grass and you can see track marks along the whole side of the pond bank. It looks like it isn't being watered but it is.

Mr. Schroder stated I did not realize until Mr. Yuro said it and you reiterated I did not know we were having a serious turf problem on those areas that have been repaired. That needs to be resolved.

Mr. Quinto stated we had a dry summer this year and I think people are more concerned about watering their own private lawn.

Mr. Labanowski asked would it help right now since some of the areas are stressed to fertilize any of that area at all?

Mr. Yuro stated I'm not an expert on growing grass. I would think fertilization would help but I would defer to your landscape company to answer that question.

Mr. Brian Moore stated it would be beneficial but you need to remember there is a buffer we had to keep from the high water line and that is between 10 and 15 feet we cannot spray fertilizer down onto that buffer area.

Mr. Labanowski stated if we fertilize the upper portion of the pond bank some of it will get down to that area.

Mr. Moore stated I'm not saying it can't be done but under our license we have to apply fertilizers to irrigated areas and what I observe is that most homeowners have not turned their irrigation on. If someone doesn't turn on their water within a 24 hour period the surface could burn as a result of the sulfur in the fertilizer damaging the plant more than helping the plant. There may be some inconsistencies along the lake bank and that is the problem with fertilizing. It is not a bad idea but it comes with some issues along the way. There is slow release fertilizer but that drives the cost up.

Mr. Schroder stated that is an issue we have to resolve and in the meantime we have to charge the district engineer to develop that list and getting that to the contractor prior to the date the one-year warranty ends.

Mr. Yuro stated the date that the substantial completion was signed was on the 12th the actual date of substantial completion referenced in that is October 7th. I can certainly have a list together within the next week or so to ensure that they are put on notice of these items.

Mr. Eckert stated I recommend that you prepare your list and send it to the board members and remind them not to reply to all and if anybody has additional comments get them back to Mike and that will allow input from all supervisors.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion of Next Phase of Pond Bank Work

Mr. Yuro stated at this point I haven't started the next phase. I met with Mr. Schroder and he pointed out a couple ponds that he thought would be the next phase and I would like a little bit of feedback from the board if there were specific ponds that were already contemplated. It looks like the geotech from the initial effort took soil borings on a number of ponds and that would be the first place I would start unless there is anything specific from board members, aside from what you have already given me.

Mr. Schroder stated there is some documentation. I know I looked at some of the ponds and Supervisor Labanowski has looked at some of the ponds and we determined those we felt there were no issues. There are some ponds that have issues and those are addressed. I will try to find my email to Mr. deNagy.

Mr. Yuro stated before the next meeting I will prepare an exhibit after going through the information from what I have gathered from the board members to date to have it for the next meeting for the agenda package so everybody can look at it.

Mr. Wing stated I walked all the ponds in San Marino on the other side of Positano and the one between Terranova and Porta Rosa. I'm not an engineer but in my looking at them none of them look nearly as bad as the ones we had fixed with the bonds. There were a couple sections to be sure but I don't know if that means filling in and in some areas it looked that homeowner fences are not in the right place maybe they were put in prior to the HOA doing any reviews. I didn't see anything that approached the problems we had.

Mr. Yuro stated by the next meeting I will have a package together based on my review of what has been done to date with the geotechnical information and some of the information I have gotten from board members.

Mr. Wing stated I would like to request that the engineer make note when he is inspecting the ponds if there is an issue because the pond bank or is the issue because of something on the homeowners' property that is causing the problem.

Mr. Perry stated for your next meeting items three and four will reappear and there will be updates on those for the board.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Proposals

A. Amenity Center Slide Replacement Options

Mr. Erasmus gave an overview of the bids received to replace the slide, removal and disposal of the old slide, options for new slides and the option to replace with a flat board to prevent access.

Mr. Eckert stated in terms of what you want to do to replace it this is a capital reserve item and is something that you put money back for so when the playground equipment breaks you can dip into the capital reserves because they have an estimated useful life. That is where the funding is coming from but the board needs to make a decision what you want to do in that place.

On MOTION by Mr. Labanowski seconded by Mr. Wing with all in favor staff was authorized to remove the old slide with in-house personnel and replace it with a flat board

B. Volley Ball Court Sand Installation

Mr. Erasmus stated the sand will get that area raised and leveled.

Mr. Schroder stated I'm concerned if someone comes in with a dump truck load of sand and pay \$1,050 we have no way to measure what they are delivering.

Mr. Yuro stated if you can do it by the yard you can gauge and measure it up by the size of the truck that comes in and it is going to be loose fill and you at least would know what you are getting. If you know how much you need whether it is 3" or 6" you can get the volume of that by the size of the court times 6" of sand, get your cubic yard that way and you can confirm how much he is delivering by the size of the truck.

Mr. Erasmus stated he was here and looked at the size of the courts and said that is how much we need to get it level.

Mr. Yuro stated I will work with Mr. Erasmus and make sure we get the right quantity.

On MOTION by Mr. Wing seconded by Mr. Labanowski with all in favor staff was authorized to proceed with the purchase of the sand for the volleyball courts in the amount of \$1,050.

C. Positano and Pescara Kiosk Grading Proposals

This item deferred until the next meeting to enable Mr. Yuro to create a sketch to be used in order to obtain proposals.

D. Turf Replacement at 3301 N. Ravello Drive

This item deferred until the next meeting to give the homeowner an opportunity to work with Comcast about funding the sod replacement.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Agreement with Amenity Services Group

Mr. Eckert stated in your agenda package is the proposal from Vesta and I think that matches up with the numbers you approved in your budget at the last meeting. There is one minor change from the proposal to what is in the agreement I provided to you and that was the lifeguard schedule correction that Dan and Clark worked on and took care of. It doesn't have a financial impact necessarily but I wanted you to be aware that there is a little bit of a difference

between the final agreement and what is in your agenda package. This is pretty much in the same form that we had last year, with the exception it includes the increased services that you talked about at your budget meeting and it also is a three-year agreement instead of a one-year agreement and I structured it that way because I think that is a more efficient way for the board to expend its resources. This contract still has a 30-day termination provision without cause so even though it is a three-year agreement if you are unhappy with the services you can give 30 days notice and get out of the contract. The other thing that the three-year contract does, this year is the price you approved in your budget, next year is the exact same price there is no proposed increase and for the third year Vesta has asked for the ability to negotiate with the board for up to a 3% increase. We don't need to make a decision on that now that is something that we will talk about in about 18 to 24 months. Our office prepared the document if you have any questions let me know but this is substantially the same form we did last year with the changes I just noted. Every time you do a one-year contract you are increasing the transaction cost with doing a new contract each year. The most efficient way to do it is a three year contract but still include that 30 day termination provision so that way after a year and a half if you are not happy then you can explore your other options.

Mr. Schroder stated the write-up was very helpful my only concern is in some cases there was omitted the frequency of certain things, how often you clean this and so forth and maybe down the road we can define some of those items. It is as needed.

Mr. Fagen stated it depends on the service but we can expand on any details you would like to see.

On MOTION by Mr. Quinto seconded by Ms. Venezia with all in favor a three-year agreement with Vesta/Amenity Services Group was approved.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of UPS Seasonal Golf Cart Deliveries

Mr. Perry stated in your agenda package is the form of agreement for consideration.

Mr. Eckert stated it is the exact same agreement as last year.

Mr. Labanowski stated they put it in the wrong location last year and that is the only access we have to the ball field so they need to go back to where it was two years ago.

Mr. Eckert stated we will revise the agreement and attach a location map where you want it.

On MOTION by Mr. Labanowski seconded by Mr. Wing with all in favor the agreement with UPS for seasonal golf cart deliveries was approved.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

There being none, the next item followed.

B. Manager

Mr. Perry stated the assessment roll has been submitted to the county for your debt and O&M assessments.

C. Operations Manager (ASG) – Community Maintenance & Projects Report

A copy of the report was included in the agenda package.

D. Amenity Center Update – Report

A copy of the report was included in the agenda package.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

There being none, the next item followed.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor’s Requests and Audience Comments

Mr. Schroder stated Mr. deNagy received an email from a resident about the short section of road between the roundabout and Cappero and that is an area between two ponds and there is a gap in the lighting and there is no sidewalk. We have sidewalks from the roundabout on Porta Rose Circle in both directions and on Positano to the west. I think the lighting was done on purpose because there are no houses and if you put a light in to fill the gap then you are casting light to the rear of those houses because the houses back onto the pond and the question is whether or not they want it. My suggestion would be to contact the county about the road and

sidewalk. I don't think the CDD should construct the sidewalk since it is a county road and the other sidewalks are in the county road right of way we should see what the county's criteria is for sidewalks. Also I would go to FP&L about the lights. I'm not sure of the details of our contract but we do pay for the power for the street lights but not the installation.

A resident stated my friend and I were out walking and a car approached us and they turned their lights off and seemed to know what they were doing. There is no lighting there and they approached us with their lights off, they got out of their car and started following us and we started walking faster, they started walking faster and we ran to the closest house and called the police. Because they were in such a dark zone we had no way to identify them. We could only see silhouettes and we saw it was a four-door sedan. There is no lighting and it is completely black in that area at 6:00 a.m. That is the reason it was called in and I'm asking for lighting to be put there. I'm not worried about the sidewalk.

Mr. Schroder stated I would like to know how the lighting is done if we pay for the usage or the installation and if that cost is amortized.

Mr. DeFranzo stated my understanding is lighting is lighting and you pay for the power as you use it and if you want to have a light we would pay to install the light. There are probably other areas without lighting also. The county has responsibility as well as the state when this was submitted for approval the county looked over everything and made recommendations of where the sidewalks are required, etc. They probably have reasons why they did or didn't do things.

Mr. Schroder stated we have to have some criteria to say yes or no.

Mr. Labanowski asked why can't we request a meeting with FP&L as well as the county to put sidewalks in certain areas. I think we need to request a meeting and sit down with them and give them our proposal and find out what it would take to get lights and sidewalks in certain areas.

Mr. Schroder stated I think the county and FP&L need to make those decision based on their criteria on need and spacing. We are not in the business of making recommendations.

A resident stated I don't know how you can weigh safety against cost. You have to do your homework and figure out what to do. It doesn't matter what happened in the past and what the requirements were we need to deal with what we have now. If something happens you have liability but the big issue is the safety of the homeowners. I don't see why we have discussed

this so much because the question becomes, how do we correct the problem, how do we fix that. We have to look at the cost and benefit and how additional lighting may affect homeowners and take that into consideration.

Mr. Eckert stated following up on Chuck's suggestion we have two very discreet issues, we have places where there aren't sidewalks and we have some gaps in some lighting. These are county rights of ways, county owned roads but to the extent that the CDD can identify what those are you can quantify what the cost is and go through the procedures with FP&L and the county to make the request. I think that is the order in which you do it and approach it in that manner. I agree there are going to be criteria, FP&L may say we aren't going to put a light 5 feet from another light there is a spacing requirement but it sounds like we have some gaps in sidewalks and lighting that we should be able to identify then go talk to them but to talk to them now without identifying what we are really concerned about they are not going to come out here and inspect it. That is something we need to do.

A resident stated it is probably addressed on the development plans. Does anyone have a copy of the plans?

Mr. Eckert stated the engineer does. I don't have them, Mr. Yuro probably doesn't have them readily accessible yet because he just started but there are development plans that were approved by the county, the light spacing would have been in there. If the construction met the plans and was signed off by the county and the county said you should have at least lights here and sidewalks here it then becomes a policy decision for the county and for the CDD to figure out what we do about these things that are compliant with the plans but would help improve the community. That is a policy decision for this board; it is a policy decision for the county but before we even get there you have to know what you are talking about in terms of is it 10 lights that are missing, is it 50? If you are going to meet with FP&L you need to know what other places in the community where we may have this issue. Otherwise you are going to pepper them with requests and by the time you go back the third time they are not going to want to talk to you.

Mr. Schroder stated Mr. Labanowski offered to do the legwork and we appreciate it and then we will set up a meeting with the list of needs.

Mr. Eckert stated you can do the same approach with the sidewalks and with the county. My guess is the county is not going to be thrilled to come in and put money in the sidewalks where they weren't required by code.

A resident stated if you have a disabled person who lives in your home and because a sidewalk isn't finished even if there are lights and they have to get down in a wheelchair the county is going to say they don't have to do it. Is that what you are telling us?

Mr. Eckert stated I am not representing the county at all.

A resident asked does everyone understand what it means if you know somebody in a wheelchair or somebody who cannot walk across or up or down? We have to start looking at the community as a whole not partial, look at what you are dealing with here. I don't understand the conversation, you need the lights you need sidewalk those are basics of a community. This is a life safety and health issue it is not about communities that want a walk path for teenage kids that have to go to school, which is part of it but when you start looking at ADA and some of the other issues you are dealing with you are going to have your hands full so do it now and do it when you can.

A resident stated that is a county responsibility to provide ADA access in and out of the community and wheelchairs going out into the street is not ADA access.

Mr. Schroder stated we will review it.

Mr. Quinto stated this area has developed quite a bit and stores opening and we have another problem and involves the county and I believe the homeowners and CDD should look into this. We had an accident the other night with injuries and there are a lot of accidents here because we don't have lights on the homes coming into the area yet. I think it is up to us to get together and get in touch with the county and see what we can do to get some traffic lights. We are going to have more accidents.

Mr. Eckert asked are you talking about a traffic light at the entrance?

Mr. Quinto stated there are a couple areas where they are needed.

Mr. Eckert stated Mr. Yuro and I have worked on traffic light issues with other community development districts in the county before and typically the process is we will contact the county, ask them to do a signal warrant. The county isn't going to put a signal in if the traffic flows aren't going to meet what their requirements are to put a signal in. The first step in that process is to ask them when the last time they have done one and ask them to do one.

Mr. Schroder stated the reason a jurisdiction will not install a traffic signal if it doesn't meet the warrants is because a traffic signals increase a number of rear end accidents. The study needs to make sure there is a sufficient number of right angle collisions and other collisions like the one that happened where someone turned in front of a vehicle. That is a greater concern than the increase in rear end accidents. That is the general practice throughout the country.

Mr. Eckert asked do you want us to contact the county and find out the last time they have done a warrant, if they will do a warrant given the increased traffic flows and increased development?

Mr. Quinto stated yes, please.

Mr. Labanowski stated in regards to the stretch from the 7-11 going east on 16 that is in the process right now to be widened. They are going to restrict the number of turns that are in there so you are only going to have access in certain areas and if everything goes well next will be Verona so we can get the traffic out of our community. Some time after the first of the year we should see things start to happen.

Mr. DeFranzo stated part of the issue in my professional opinion especially at Verona and Murabella Parkway as well is the amount of signage and the island is a 6" concrete island that is not visible at night but even without the lighting there are probably signage with special reflective materials on them that would help immensely especially at the bull nose, which is the separation between the ingress and egress. They need reflective signage. At Verona it is really bad because that little island there is nothing there to prevent people from driving over it.

Mr. Schroder stated we need to let the county know we have concerns about the way the intersections are signed and marked currently and ask if there is anything they can do in the interim between now and the time they begin construction.

Ms. Covington stated we are at the back of the neighborhood and we come to the meetings and we hear about water retention. One of the things we encountered with this last storm is something we wondered about and that is the condition and health of the trees that border the perimeter of the property. We have trees behind us and on the side of our property there are 17 pine trees that when we moved in five years ago they were very little pine trees you couldn't see them and we put a fence up. Five years later they are 20+ feet tall and the concern we have is they started swaying quite a bit during that storm. I want to pass that along. There is debris behind the fence that is lying on our fence, we had to remove sections of pine trees that

literally snapped in half and landed in our yard. One of my neighbors has reached out to you as well. Do we have a report of any kind on the health and condition of those trees?

Mr. Schroder stated that would certainly help us. We are currently dealing with the problem. We have dying pine trees that we have removed already so we are monitoring those but if you see a dead tree let us know.

Ms. Covington stated the problem is they are built on a berm and eventually the berm will start washing away. I will send you pictures and I need the trees removed that are lying on my fence but also looking down the road I don't want anyone to get hurt.

Mr. Erasmus stated one problem is access to that area we don't have a right of way. If you would give me access we can go back there and remove all the stuff that fell down and at a later stage when we have a tree company come in I will see if we can cut those trees down. I know there are three that are dead. I will ask permission if we can go there because we don't have access.

Ms. Covington stated they used to mow back there but that hasn't happened for a long time. I know you were kind enough months ago to add to the newsletter about people wearing reflective clothing and trying to park in their driveway or garage before they park on the street. I have been seeing a large volume of parties during the summer at night you are driving on the road and there are so many times where there are 15 to 20 cars on both sides of the road and many times like this morning he couldn't go to work on Torino because there was a paint truck and a sears truck delivering appliances and he couldn't get through until people moved. Have you ever considered having parking on only one side of the street?

A resident asked did we take care of changing over from Direct TV to Comcast?

Mr. Insel stated it is in process at the amenity center.

A resident stated who benefitted most from community like this is I think the counties did. I don't think we apply pressure to the counties whether it be parking on one side of the road, adequate sidewalks, etc. the counties got these communities for very little investment on their part and as large as this community is and the communities around us does the county realize things like county parking restrictions were made for Florida roads they weren't made for densely populated communities. Little things like that I think from time to time we have to try to provide as a community and collectively as communities put pressure on the counties.

Mr. DeFranzo stated I want to expand on your discussion of the pond banks. I know I walked the pond banks a number of years ago and want to clarify that the problems that were identified were pretty much erosion issues and not the same kind we repaired. The point I'm trying to make is the cost really is driven up by access to and from the areas of erosion or failures. When you have an isolated situation on various pond banks they were trying to fix and want to fix all of them it is not just a matter of getting that repaired if you just identify that one, two or three repairs they can get rather expensive the problem is getting in and out.

Going back to the irrigation on the pond banks my experience with Bahia I was never in favor of any of that. For those that don't know it is not a type of grass that grows laterally and it grows peripherally it is not going to spread like St. Augustine or Bermuda. It is not going to grow more grass.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Next Scheduled Meeting – October 11, 2016
at 6:00 p.m. at the Murabella Amenity
Center**

Mr. Perry stated your next meeting is scheduled for October 11, 2016 at 6:00 p.m. at this location.

On MOTION by Ms. Venezia seconded by Mr. Quinto with all in favor the meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.


Secretary/Assistant Secretary


Chairman/Vice Chairman